

United States Patent and Trademark Office

10/03/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address (N.MMISSI) NER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington DCC 20231 A&A uspto gos

APPLICATION NO FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/701,237 02/15/2001 Arturo Geifman GEFMAN-I 8709

1444 7590

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303

EXAMINER
WONG, LESLIE A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1761

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002

 \mathcal{I}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	
•		

(·)

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/701,237

Geifman et al.

Examiner

Leslie Wong

Art Unit **1761**

					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the	cover s	heet with	the correspondence address
	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO F	YPIRE	three	MONTH(S) FROM
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	.0.		77700	_ *************************************
Extens	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no even	t, however.	may a reply b	e timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
If the	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	ne statut	ory minimu	n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO _I - Failure	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	and will o he applic	expire SIX ((ation to bed	6) MONTHS fi ome ABAND(om the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
· Any re	eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status	putoni tomi dajasmoni oso si				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		<u> </u>		<u> </u>
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This act	tion is	non-fina	al.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance electronsed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle.				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) X	Claim(s) <u>32-56</u>		<u>.</u>		is/are pending in the application.
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)				is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s)				is/are allowed.
6) X .	Claim(s) 32-56				is/are rejected.
7) .	Claim(s)				is/are objected to.
8)	Claims		ar	e subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.
	ation Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10).	The drawing(s) filed onis/are	a) 🗔	accept	ed or b)	objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		_		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t				
12):	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pro-	riority	under 3	5 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-td) or (f).
a)	All b) Some* c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e bee	n receiv	ed.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e bee	n receiv	ed in App	lication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure				ceived in this National Stage
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				eceived.
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priori	ty unde	35 U.S.	C. § 119(e).
a)	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	al app	lication I	nas been	received.
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priori	ty unde	35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm	ient(s)				
1) No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview S	Summary (PTC	0-413) Paper No(s).
2) N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) (Notice of I	nformal Paten	t Application (PTO-152)
3) X In	formation Disclosure Statement s) PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6)	Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09701237

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 32-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Applicant does not clearly teach what is encompassed by "enhance the flavor".

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 35-37, 39-42, 45, 52, and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 35-37 are indefinite as to "the taste enhancer" as there is no antecedent basis for this phrase.

Claims 39 and 40 are indefinite as to "the serum" as there is no antecedent basis for this phrase.

Claims 41, 42, 52, and 53 are indefinite as to "the hydrolysis" as there is no antecedent basis for this phrase.

Claim 45 is indefinite as to "the carrier" as there is no antecedent basis for this phrase.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

Application/Control Number: 09701237

Art Unit: 1761

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 32 and 33 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zelkha et al (WO 95/16363), Yoji (JP 59095868), and Yoji (JP 59095869).

Zelkha et al (WO 95/16363), Yoji (JP 59095868), and Yoji (JP 59095869) all teach a clear tomato concentrate added to food (see corresponding documents).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made

Claims 34-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zelkha et al (WO 95/16363), Yoji (JP 59095868), and Yoji (JP 59095869) in view of Dainiho Shigyo (JP 59095871) and de Barros et al.

Zelkha et al (WO 95/16363), Yoji (JP 59095868), and Yoji (JP 59095869) all disclose a clear tomato concentrate added to food (see corresponding documents). Yoji (JP 59095869) and Yoji (JP 59095869) specifically disclose the addition of other flavors.

The claims differ as to the specific type of hydrolysis utilized.

Dainiho Shigyo discloses the application of heat to the transparent supernatant of tomato

Zelkha et al (WO 95/16363), Yoji (JP 59095868), and Yoji (JP 59095869) because the use of different types of hydrolysis in tomato products is conventional in the art.

With respect to Claim 33, it is noted that the claimed flavor enhancers are notoriously well-known and readily available to one of ordinary skill in the art.

All of the claim limitations have been considered. None of them are seen as serving as basis for patentability. No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Leslie Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761

LAW September 26, 2002